Remarkably Selective Binding of Silver Ion by Thiolariat Ether with a 15-Crown-5 Ring

Tatsuya Nabeshima,* Katsunori Nishijima, Naoko Tsukada, Hiroyuki Furusawa, Toshiyuki Hosoya and Yumihiko Yano

Department of Chemistry, Gunma University, Kiryu, Gunma 376, Japan

The thiolariat ether, which is a lariat ether with an oxygen atom in the side arm replaced by a sulfur atom, exhibits a high selectivity to silver ion among alkali and heavy metal ions because of synergistic ligation of the crown ether and the one sulfur outside the ring.

Crown ethers bearing side arm(s), such as lariat ethers and double armed crown ethers, reveal a selective binding affinity to a variety of metal ions, amino acids and cationic organic guests.^{1,2} The affinity can be modulated effectively by simply changing the type of side arm, whereas the structure of the

cyclic framework is maintained. The introduction of a side arm into a crown ring has been easily performed to give many kinds of lariat ethers.^{3,4} No example, however, has been reported on thiolariat ethers, *i.e.* lariat ethers where the oxygen atom in the side arm is replaced by a sulfur atom. We report the first

Scheme 1 Reagents: i, SOCl₂, py, PhH; ii, tu; iii, KOH; iv, HCl; v, RBr, EtONa, EtOH (R = Bn, Bu, C₁₂H₂₅)

Scheme 2 Reagents: i, ClSO₃H, CHCl₃; ii, LAH, tetrahydrofuran; iii, RBr, EtONa, EtOH (R = Bn, Bu)

example of thiolariat ethers and their remarkably high Ag⁺ selectivity, which is surprisingly achieved by the introduction of only one sulfur atom outside the 15-crown-5 cavity.

Thiolariat ethers (1–5) were synthesized by the reaction of the corresponding mercaptocrown ether with alkyl or benzyl (Bn) halides in the presence of EtONa in EtOH, as shown in Scheme 1. Hydroxymethylcrown ethers 6⁵ were converted into the corresponding chloromethylcrowns 7 by the treatment of SOCl₂ and pyridine (py) in benzene in good yields. Mercaptomethylcrown ethers 8 were prepared from 7 *via* thiuronium salt by using thiourea (tu). For 4 and 5, 4′-mercaptobenzo-15-crown-5 was synthesized by lithium aluminium hydride (LAH) reduction of 4′-chlorosulfonylbenzo-15-crown-5, which was prepared from chlorosulfonic acid and benzo-15-crown-5 in CHCl₃ at 0 °C (Scheme 2).

Solvent extraction experiments (ClCH₂CH₂Cl-H₂O) were carried out to evaluate the affinity of 1-5 for metal ions. The selectivity sequence of the thiolariat ethers for alkali metal ions is almost the same as that of the corresponding lariat ethers (9, 10).† This fact reveals that the sulfur atom in the side chain does not affect the affinity significantly⁶⁻⁸ and that the

Table 1 Solvent extraction of transition and heavy metal ions

	Extractability (%) ^a								
Host	Ag+	Mn ²⁺	Co ²⁺	Ni ²⁺	Cu ²⁺	Zn^{2+}	Cd ²⁺	Pb ²⁺	Hg^{2+b}
1a	25	1	5	2	1	1	2	1	38
1b	95	2	4	1	3	1	1	4	39
2a	24	2	3	2	2	2	3	3	37
2b	95	2	2	2	3	3	3	5	42
3b	97	2	2	2	3	4	3	5	46
4	10	2	3	3	3	2	2	4	32
5	11	_	_	_	_	_	1	2	33
9	5	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	33
10	28	1	3	1	2	3	1	6	31
11	55	2	2	2	4	2	4	4	53
12	12	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	33
none	2	1	3	1	1	1	1	2	31

 a Org. layer (CH₂ClCH₂Cl): [host] = 1×10^{-4} mol dm $^{-3}$; aq. layer: [Pic $^-$ H $^+$] = 3×10^{-5} mol dm $^-$ 3 and [metal nitrate] = 0.01 mol dm $^{-3}$. b Aq. layer: [Pic $^-$ H $^+$] = 3×10^{-5} mol dm $^-$ 3 and [Hg(NO₃)₂] = 5×10^{-4} mol dm $^-$ 3.

selectivity results essentially from the crown ring as expected. In contrast, toward heavy metal ions only thiolariat ethers with a 15-crown-5 ring (1b-3b) exhibit quite high Ag+ selectivity (Table 1). The extractabilities in 1b, 2b and 3b are 95, 95 and 97%, respectively. However, affinity for Ag+ is dramatically decreased in the thiolariat ether with a 12-crown-4 ring [extractability (ex) = 25% in 1a, 24% in 2a]. A linear compound 11 and dodecyl ethyl sulfide 12 also show a smaller extractability toward Ag^+ (ex = 55% in 11, 12% in 12). In addition the low affinity towards Ag+ was also observed in 4 (ex = 10%). From the inspection of the space-filling model, the sulfur atom in 4 cannot coordinate Ag+, when the ion is bound in the crown ring. Considerable decrease of affinity was also seen in the lariat ether (9, 10), although Ag+ is extracted most preferentially by 10 (ex = 28%) among the heavy metals.^{8,9} Much lower extractability is found when the cyclic framework of 10 is changed into smaller one 9, a 12-crown-4 derivative (ex = 5%). Hence, the extraction experiments clearly indicate that the extremely high Ag+ selectivity is achieved by synergistic coordination of a suitable sized 15-crown-5 and the sulfur atom. Noteworthy is that thiolariat ethers (1b-3b) exhibit very low affinity to Pb2+ and Hg2+, when the extractability in the absence of the crown ethers is taken into account (ex = 31%, Hg^{2+}). It is usually very difficult to obtain a high preference of Ag+ over Pb2+ and Hg²⁺ by using crown ether derivatives, though hetero atoms,

 $[\]dagger$ Org. layer (CH₂ClCH₂Cl): [host] = 1×10^{-4} mol dm $^{-3}$; aq. layer: [Pic $^-$ M $^+$] = 3×10^{-5} mol dm $^{-3}$ and [metal chloride] = 0.10 mol dm $^{-3}$; e.g. extractabilities (%) in 1b and 10 are 16 and 21 for Na $^+$; 19 and 20 for K $^+$; 15 and 17 for Rb $^+$, respectively. ex(%) = 100 (3 \times 10^{-5} – [Pic $^-$ M $^+$]aq)/3 \times 10^{-5} . (Pic = picrate).

such as sulfur and nitrogen, in a crown ring play a significant role to bind heavy metals specifically. For instance, thiacrowns, crown ethers having sulfur atoms in the ring, are known to show high and specific binding behaviour to heavy metal ions, such as Hg^{2+} and $Ag^{+.7,8,10-12}$ Therefore, it is a surprising phenomenon that the high selectivity is caused by a synergistic coordination of a usual 15-crown-5 ring and only one sulfur atom located in the vicinity of and outside the cyclic recognition site.¹³ The cooperative ligation is also supported strongly by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Addition of an excess amount of silver nitrate gives rise to noticeable spectral changes, *e.g.* the down field shift of H_a and H_b of **2b** (0.28 and 0.17 ppm, respectively) in CDCl₃.

The interesting framework of thiolariat ether will open a variety of applications to novel detection and recovery methods of Ag⁺, which are under investigation in our group.

We thank Gunma University Foundation for Science and Technology for financial support of this work. We also thank Miss Michi Kuwabara for her assistance in the preparation of thiolariat ethers.

Received, 27th April 1992; Com. 2/021571

References

1 R. M. Izatt, J. S. Bradshaw, S. A. Nielsen, J. D. Lamb and J. J. Christensen, *Chem. Rev.*, 1985, **85**, 271.

- 2 R. D. Hancock and A. E. Martell, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 1875.
- 3 D. M. Dishong, C. J. Diamond, M. I. Cinoman and G. W. Gokel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 586.
- 4 R. A. Schultz, B. D. White, D. M. Dishong, K. A. Arnold and G. W. Gokel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 6659.
- 5 T. Miyazaki, S. Yanagida, A. Itoh and M. Okahara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1982, 55, 2005.
- 6 H. K. Frensdorff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 600.
- 7 R. M. Izatt, R. E. Terry, L. D. Hansen, A. G. Avondet, J. S. Bradshaw, N. K. Dalley, T. E. Jensen, J. J. Christensen and B. L. Haymore, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1978, 30, 1.
- 8 J. D. Lamb, R. M. Izatt, C. S. Swain, J. S. Bradshaw and J. J. Christensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 475.
- 9 R. M. Izatt, R. E. Terry, B. L. Haymore, L. D. Hansen, N. K. Dalley, A. G. Avondet and J. J. Christensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 7620.
- M. Oue, K. Kimura and T. Shono, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1987, 194, 293; M. Oue, K. Kimura and T. Shono, Analyst, 1988, 113, 551;
 M. Oue, K. Kimura, K. Akama, M. Tanaka and T. Shono, Chem. Lett., 1988, 409; M. Oue, K. Akama, K. Kimura, M. Tanaka and T. Shono, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1989, 1675.
- 11 H. Takeshita, A. Mori and S. Hirayama, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 564.
- 12 B. de Groot and S. J. Loeb, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 1755.
- 13 For Ag⁺ binder utilizing a diazacrown framework, see H. Tsukube, K. Yamashita, T. Iwachido and M. Zenki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, **30**, 3983; H. Tsukube, H. Minatogawa, M. Munakata, M. Toda and K. Matsumoto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 542.